



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6964
Title of the Manuscript:	Over the counter sale of prescription only medication in Abu Dhabi, UAE: Law enforcement or health ethics?
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors mention that the data was collected by two methods (i) survey (ii) participant observation and a triangulation strategy was applied. The paper only describes the survey where as no data is presented from the participant observations. Also no results are based on the triangulation method. The authors must provide the results of triangulation. A number of references are newspaper articles. If there are no UAE related scientific papers than papers from similar countries should be included in introduction. Table titles are incomplete and should be improved. Table I does not give any meaningful information and can be deleted. Line numbers 157-58 mention trade names of certain medication whereas there is no mention of these in the results section. Limitations of the study should be included in the discussion section	Further clarification and additions have been made/ The results are now in the text. No such study has previously been undertaken any where else Fixed Fixed Fixed
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is on an important issue. With revisions will be a good article for UAE readers The authors should mention that from which institution/ethical or IRB committee they got the approval to conduct this study	Thanks. The review comments were useful and have been taken aboard.